Class and Section: MIS4173-601 Date: 3/08/2021

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 2**

Group #: 9

Weston Dennis, Castle Diehl, Victoria Dixon, Doug Howard

SR&R 2 Documentation Grade: **100** SR&R 2 Presentation Grade: **98**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 2 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all have done a good job. Please look over our comments and address these areas in your documentation and presentation to improve your solution. Overall, both your presentation and your documentation are good. You are progressing well.  Dr. Kisling and Jarrett Eason (03/08/2021) |

#### SR&R 2 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 2 |
| **SR&R 2 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Any Previous Documentation | **15** | 15 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **20** | 20 |
| Any New Documentation | **20** | 20 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 7 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 6 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 100 |

#### SR&R 2 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **20** | 20 |
| Project Updates (please include UCD & CD) | **15** | 13 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **20** | 20 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 98 |

**Comments:**

Presentation:

* Non-functional requirements good.
* UCD has formatting issues.
* Truck database should be a part of the system.
* PSPI good.

Documentation:

* All documentation is present.
* Would consider moving preliminary non-functional requirements to its own section and not with system objective & constraints.
* Issues with presentation pertain to documentation as well.